1	WILLIAM R. BENZ, ESQ. #037376	
2	900 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 185 Larkspur, California 94939 Telephone: (415)461-6633	APR 1 9 1995
3		MARIN COUNTY CLERK
4	SPECIAL REFEREE	BY: E. Keswick Deputy
5		
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF MARIN	
9		
10	CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, a California not-for-	No. 157680
11	profit religious corporation,	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF DISCOVERY REFEREE RE
12	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER COMPELLING COMPLIANCE WITH
13	VS.	COURT ORDER RE MICHAEL AND SALINA WALTON
14	GERALD ARMSTRONG, MICHAEL WALTON, THE GERALD ARMSTRONG	Hearing Date: 4/6/95
15	CORPORATION, a California not-for- profit corporation, et al.,	Trial Date: 5/18/95
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	• ORDER OF REFERENCE	
19	This court has appointed the undersigned, WILLIAM R. BENZ, as Special	
20	Referee in this action for the purpose of supervising, hearing, and determining any and all	
21	motions and disputes relating to discovery.	
22	HEARING	
23	A hearing was held on April 6, 1995 at the office of William R. Benz. Present	
24	were Laurie Bartilson, Esq. on behalf of plaintiff; defendant Gerald Armstrong, in pro	
25	per and on behalf of the Gerald Armstrong Corporation; and Michael Walton, defendant,	
26	on behalf of himself and Salina Walton. The hearing was reported.	
27	///	
28	///	
	1	

r.

23

24

MATTER CONSIDERED AND DECIDED

The matter considered and decided was plaintiff's motion for an order compelling compliance with court order re inspection of real property and for sanctions against Michael and Salina Walton.

FINDINGS

The Special Referee finds:

1. Plaintiff served by mail a demand for inspection of real property on defendants Michael and Salina Walton on November 27, 1994. Defendant Walton timely served objections to the Demand for Inspection on October 17, 1994. There were various objections including an objection based on the 30 day before trial discovery cut-off rule. There was no motion to compel inspection.

2. Plaintiff noticed a Motion for leave to Complete Discovery for hearing before the court on December 16, 1994. The Notice of Motion in its body states plaintiff "will and does hereby move, pursuant to CCP § 2024(e), for an order granting the Church leave to complete discovery in this action." While there was discussion in plaintiff's motion regarding the inspection of the property as a reason for need for further time to complete discovery, there was no motion to compel inspection of the property.

3. On December 16, 1994, the court ruled in response to plaintiff's motion:

"Plaintiff's motion for leave to complete discovery is granted. The new discovery cut-off date March 16, 1995."

4. On December 19, 1994, plaintiff served by mail another demand for inspection of real property on the Waltons. The Waltons timely served objections on January 11, 1995. There was no motion to compel inspection.

25
5. Plaintiff then brought the subject motion to compel compliance with the court's December 16, 1994 order.

27
6. The court did not include an order compelling inspection as a part of its
28
December 16, 1994 order.

2

1	7. Plaintiff's motion should therefore be denied.		
2	8. There was no substantial justification for the bringing of the subject motion.		
3	Defendant's Michael and Salina Walton are entitled to sanctions against plaintiff in the		
4	sum of \$500.00 under the provision of Code of civil Procedure section 2031(e). All other		
5	requests for sanctions should be denied.		
6	9. The fees for the Special Referee continue to be divided equally among the		
7	parties as follows: Church of Scientology to pay one-third; Gerald Armstrong to pay one-		
8			
9	third; Michael Walton to pay one-third, payable within 10 days of billing. RECOMMENDATIONS		
10			
11	The Special Referee recommends that the Court make the order set forth below.		
12	Dated: April 10, 1995		
13	Respectfully submitted,		
14	Hullin RRun		
	WILLIAM R. BENZ		
15	WILLIAM R. BENZ		
15 16	WILLIAM R. BENZ		
	WILLIAM R. BENZ		
16	WILLIAM R. BENZ PROPOSED ORDER Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause		
16 17			
16 17 18	Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause		
16 17 18 19	Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing,		
16 17 18 19 20	Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED		
16 17 18 19 20 21	Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED 1. The Report of the Special Referee is adopted. 2. The motion of plaintiff Church of Scientology, International for an order		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED 1. The Report of the Special Referee is adopted. 2. The motion of plaintiff Church of Scientology, International for an order compelling compliance with court order compelling inspection of real property and for		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED The Report of the Special Referee is adopted. The motion of plaintiff Church of Scientology, International for an order compelling compliance with court order compelling inspection of real property and for sanctions against Michael and Salina Walton is denied. 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED The Report of the Special Referee is adopted. The motion of plaintiff Church of Scientology, International for an order compelling compliance with court order compelling inspection of real property and for sanctions against Michael and Salina Walton is denied. Plaintiff Church of Scientology International shall pay the sum of Five 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED The Report of the Special Referee is adopted. The motion of plaintiff Church of Scientology, International for an order compelling compliance with court order compelling inspection of real property and for sanctions against Michael and Salina Walton is denied. Plaintiff Church of Scientology International shall pay the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) to defendants Michael and Salina Walton as sanctions under 		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 Having considered the above report of the Special Referee and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED The Report of the Special Referee is adopted. The motion of plaintiff Church of Scientology, International for an order compelling compliance with court order compelling inspection of real property and for sanctions against Michael and Salina Walton is denied. Plaintiff Church of Scientology International shall pay the sum of Five 		

3

•

•	
1 2 3 4	 Fees of the Special Referee shall continue to be divided among the parties as follows: Church of Scientology to pay one-third; Gerald Armstrong to pay one-third; Michael Walton to pay one-third, payable within 10 days of billing.
5 6 7	Dated: 4 - 17 - 95 GARY W. THOMAS
8	GARY W. THOMAS JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
9 10	
11 12	
13 14	
15 16	
17 18	
19 20	
21	
22 23	
24 25	
26 27	
28	
	4

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL		
2	I am employed in the County of Marin. I am over the age of eighteen years and		
3	not a party to the within action; my business address is 900 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite		
4	185, Larkspur, California 94939.		
5 6	On April 10, 1995 I served the within Report and Recommendation of Discovery		
7	Referee Re Plaintiff's Motion for Order Compelling Compliance with Court Order re		
8	Michael and Salina Walton in said action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a		
9	sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Larkspur,		
10	Marin County, California, addressed as follows:		
11	Laurie Bartilson, Esq.		
12	Bowles & Moxon 6255 Sunset Blvd., Suite 2000		
13	Hollywood, CA 90028		
14	115 Shi Thances Drake Dive.		
15	San Anselmo, CA 94960		
16	Michael Walton, Esq. Attorney at Law		
17	P. O. Box 751 San Anselmo, CA 94960		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the		
23	foregoing is true and correct.		
24	Dated: April 10, 1995		
25	Hatte Prod		
26	KATHY DUSTON NAGEL		
27			
28			

. . . .

•